

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/070,177	BENSE, LASZLO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Carlos A. Azpuru	1615	

All Participants:

Status of Application: abandoned

(1) Carlos A. Azpuru.

(3) _____

(2) Richard R. Michaud.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 1 November 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112, first and second; double patenting; 35 USC 102(b); 35 USC 103(a)

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was confirmed that the application had been abandoned.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)